

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/601,591 | 06/24/2003 | Yutaka Murakami | 20402-00579-US1 | 1468 | |
| | 7590 09/19/200 BOVE LODGE & HUT | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1875 EYE STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 | | | JOSEPH, JAISON | | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20036 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| • | | | 2611 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/19/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/601,591 | | MURAKAMI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jaison Joseph | 2611 | |

| | · careeri cocopii | 20 | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with t | he correspondence addre | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>31 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION | FOR ALLOWANCE. | • |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notion wing replies: (1) an amendment potice of Appeal (with appeal fee | ce of Appeal. To avoid aba nt, affidavit, or other evider e) in compliance with 37 Cf | nce, which FR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth an SIX MONTHS from the mailing da | ate of the final rejection. | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | | E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED | WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the atutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) a | fee under 37 s set forth in (b) |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com | nliance with 37 CER 41 37 mus | et he filed within two month | s of the date |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.3) | 7(e)), to avoid dismissal of | the appeal. |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | • | NOTE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | he issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | y rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | n-Compliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). • |
| 5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | illowable if submitted in a sepa | rate, timely filed amendme | ent canceling |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | | 7 | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | | y will be entered and an e | xpianation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | vided below of appended. | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>35,36,42,45-48,51,52 and 55-59</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a | ppeal and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims at | ter entry is below or attach | ned. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See attached. | | | ice because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | | | |

Applicant's arguments, see page 8 of remarks section, filed 08/31/2007, with respect to claims 35 – 59 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 35 – 59 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 08/31/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 35, Applicant argues, "in the present invention, a pilot symbol is inserted in a first stream of information symbols on a signal constellation so as to be places on either an inphase axis or a quadrature phase axis in the signal constellation". However Examiner respectfully disagrees. As explained in the previous office action, in figure 6, Jasper et al clearly teach having the 'pilot symbol is disposed on either an inphase axis or a quadrature-phase axis in a signal constellation" (see figure 6, element 84. and column 6, line 67 — column 7, lines 5). Therefore Jasper et al teach all cited limitations. Thus Examiner maintains the rejection of claim 35, 45, 58 and 59. Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that the examiner is entitled to give broadest reasonable interpretation to the language of the claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison Joseph whose telephone number is (571) 272-6041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,591

Art Unit: 2611

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Joseph 09/11/2007

CHIEH M. FAN